

Technical Details

The 2022 British Social Attitudes (BSA) survey was a mixed-mode push-to-web design with an optional Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) opt-in. Letters were sent to a random sample of addresses inviting up to two household members to complete the survey online, with an option to be interviewed by phone if preferred. This is the same design as used in the 2020 and 2021 BSAs. Prior to 2020, BSA was a face-to-face survey (see Curtice et al, 2020 for details), but this was changed as a result of the public health measures introduced in the wake of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic (see Clery et al, 2021, Technical Details for more information about this transition). This chapter provides details of the design of the BSA 2022 survey, and how it differed from previous years of BSA.

Sample design

The BSA survey is designed to yield a representative sample of adults aged 18 or over. Since 1993, the sampling frame for the survey has been the Postcode Address File (PAF), a list of addresses (or postal delivery points) compiled by the Post Office.

For practical reasons, the sample is confined to those living in private households. People living in institutions (though not in private households at such institutions) are excluded, as are households whose addresses were not on the PAF.

Before 2020 a geographically clustered and stratified sample of addresses was selected. Interviewers then called at each address. If there were more than one dwelling unit (DU) or household at the issued address, a random selection of one household was made at which to seek an interview. If there was more than one individual living in the household, a random selection of household members was made.

The sampling and selection method used since 2020 is different from previous years, because of the change in survey mode from face-to-face to primarily online.

Selection of addresses and dwelling units

In 2022, a sample of 44,280 unclustered addresses was drawn from the PAF. Addresses located north of the Caledonian Canal and on the Isles of Scilly were excluded in order to be consistent with previous years of BSA.

Prior to selection of the sample, all PAF addresses within England, Scotland and Wales were sorted by: (a) region; (b) population density; and (c) tenure profile (% owner occupation). A systematic (1 in N) random sample of addresses was then drawn. The list of sampled addresses was then split into to a main sample (N= 36,900) and a reserve sample (n=7,380), the latter of which were to be issued if necessary, to meet the targeted number completed interviews.

The initial invitation to participate in the online survey was made by post. Consequently, in instances where the selected address contained more than one DU or household, it was not possible to make a random selection of a single DU/household. Instead, the selected household was effectively the one which first opened the invitation letter.

Selection of individuals

In 2022, where selected households contained more than one person aged 18 and over it was not possible to select at random one person to be interviewed. Instead, the invitation and reminder letters contained two unique access codes that allowed any two adults aged 18 or over living within the household to log in and complete the questionnaire.

The 2022 questionnaire and fieldwork

Each address was allocated at random to one of twelve versions of the questionnaire.

Fieldwork

Fieldwork was carried out between 7th September and 30th October 2022. There was some disruption to survey fieldwork due to the death of HM Queen Elizabeth II, the period of national mourning and the state funeral. The mailout of the first reminder letter to respondents was delayed until after the funeral. Royal Mail strikes also caused some delays to mailouts and the fieldwork period was extended by one week in order to accommodate this disruption.

A mixed-mode design was used, offering both web and telephone survey completion. Sampled addresses were sent letters inviting up to two respondents per household to complete the online survey. A reminder letter was sent to all addresses, and up to two further reminder letters sent to addresses where no-one or only person had taken part so far. While respondents were encouraged to complete the survey online, they were given the option of conducting the survey by telephone. This was to try to ensure that the offline population, and those who are less likely to take part online, still had the opportunity of taking part. The fieldwork period was the same for both modes. The invitation and first reminder letters sent to respondents mainly directed them to taking part online, merely presenting the option of a telephone interview as an option in the frequently asked questions. The second reminder letter made the option of a telephone interview more explicit.

Telephone interviews were conducted by interviewers drawn from the National Centre for Social Research (NatCen)'s regular panel. Before fieldwork began, interviewers attended a briefing conference to familiarise themselves with the questionnaire.

For each version of the questionnaire the mean interview length when completed online was:

- Version 1: 30 minutes, 48 seconds
- Version 2: 30 minutes, 52 seconds
- Version 3: 30 minutes, 35 seconds
- Version 4: 30 minutes, 13 seconds
- Version 5: 31 minutes, 41 seconds
- Version 6: 32 minutes, 14 seconds
- Version 7: 35 minutes, 36 seconds
- Version 8: 35 minutes, 07 seconds
- Version 9: 33 minutes, 48 seconds
- Version 10: 34 minutes, 04 seconds
- Version 11: 34 minutes, 59 seconds
- Version 12: 33 minutes, 38 seconds

Communication strategy

The principles for designing the invitation and reminder letters were based on the Tailored Design Method (Dillman, 2014), an approach to designing postal, web and telephone surveys based on social exchange theory, that has a goal that the respondent believes that the expected benefits of responding outweigh the costs, therefore increasing the likelihood of response. The main aim of the letters was to provide all the relevant information a respondent requires to complete the survey, and to answer immediate questions they might have had. The communications were designed to ensure that each successive contact built on the previous one, varying the motivational statements to increase the likelihood of converting non-responders.

1. Invitation letter

A letter was sent to each sampled address inviting adults aged 18 or over and resident at the household to take part in the survey. As noted earlier, up to two adults could take part in each household and two sets of unique login details were provided to each address. The letter explained the purpose of the study, how the address was selected, and stressed the importance of taking part. The letter also confirmed that the respondent would receive a £10 shopping voucher on completing the survey as a thank you for taking part. The invitation letter mainly directed respondents to taking part online, merely presenting the telephone interview as an option in the frequently asked questions.

2. First reminder letter

About a week after the invitation letter was mailed, sampled addresses were sent a reminder letter. Owing to the lead-in time for producing and printing this letter, it was sent to all sampled addresses. The reminder letter built on the invitation letter by informing respondents of the advantages of taking part, and provided details of how to access the survey. As in the invitation letter, respondents were directed mainly towards taking part online.

3. Second reminder letter

About a week after the first reminder letter, a second reminder letter was sent to all households where no-one had taken part, or only one person had done so. Households that had opted out of the survey by contacting the office were also excluded from this mailing. This letter differed from the invitation and first reminder letters by making it clearer that respondents could telephone the office to complete a telephone interview. In the first reminder and invitation letter this information was only included within the frequently asked questions on the reverse of the letter. The second reminder letter was sent to 36,116 of the original 36,899 addresses that formed the main sample.

4. Third reminder letter

During fieldwork a decision was made not to issue the reserve sample. However, it was decided to issue the optional third reminder to the main sample in order to further encourage response. This letter emphasised that it was the last chance to participate and included the same messaging in relation to the telephone interview as the second reminder. The third reminder was sent to 32,853 addresses.

Response rate

Response rates for push-to-web surveys are not necessarily directly comparable with those achieved in face-to-face surveys. Whereas the BSA face-to-face survey aimed to select at random one individual per household to take part, the push-to-web approach allowed up to two people per household to participate. Therefore, the closest comparison that can be made is between the household-level response rate in the push-to-web survey (that is, the proportion of households from which at least one fully productive case was achieved), and the overall response on a face-to-face survey. For BSA 2022 the household-level response rate was 13%. However, information on non-responding addresses is not fully captured in push-to-web surveys, so it is not possible to record accurately the number of selected addresses which were not eligible because, for example, they are non-residential addresses. If we assume the level of such addresses is the same as in the 2019 BSA survey (9%), the estimated final response rate in 2022 was 14.2%. The response rate was therefore somewhere between 13% and 14.2% (see Table 1).

| Table 1 BSA 2022 response rate | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Issued sample | 73,800 cases 36,900 addresses |
| Estimate number of deadwood/ineligible ¹ | 10% |
| Eligible addresses | 33,210 |
| Number of fully productive cases | 6,638 |
| Number of partially productive cases | 271 |
| Number of addresses with at least one complete (full or partial) | 4,831 |
| Number of completes per address | 1.43 |
| Unadjusted household response rate | 13% |
| Adjusted household response rate | 14.5% |

¹ Estimate based on BSA 2019 % of ineligible

* Estimate based on BSA 2019 % of ineligible/deadwood addresses

This response is the same as the 2021 BSA, which had the same survey design as 2022. On the 2019 BSA – the last face-to-face survey – the household response rate was between 44.3% and 44.8%. The response rate in 2021 and 2022 was therefore considerably lower than in 2019, as would be expected given the use of a push-to-web survey mode.

Weighting

It is known that certain subgroups in the population are less likely than others to respond to surveys. This is referred to as differential non-response. These groups can end up being under-represented in the sample, which can bias the survey estimates. Weights are applied to the BSA survey that correct for these biases. Such non-response could occur within households as well as at the level of the selected postal address. As explained above, every address had an equal probability of being selected, while at each address up to two people in one household were invited to take part. Weighting was therefore required to adjust for differential non-response by households and by individuals within households. Separate non-response models were constructed to deal with each of these elements of non-response. Finally, calibration weighting was used to adjust the profile of the responding sample so that it matched the population in terms of age, sex, education, tenure, ethnicity and region.

The different stages of the weighting scheme are outlined in the detail below.

Non-response model

Specific subgroups can end up being over-represented in the sample, which can bias the survey estimates. As already noted, given that up to two people per household could respond in 2022, non-response could occur at the household level, when no one from the selected address responds, or within households, when only one person responds in households with two or more adults. Where information is available about non-responding addresses, the propensity for households (at selected addresses) to respond can be modelled, and the results used to generate a non-response weight. Similarly, where information is available about responding households, the expected number of responses within these households can also be modelled. Hence there are two components to the non-response weights – one for between household non-response and one for within household non-response. These are intended to reduce bias in the responding sample resulting from differential response to the survey.

Between household response was modelled using logistic regression, with the dependent variable indicating whether or not someone at each selected address responded to the survey. Responding addresses were coded 1 and non-responding addresses were coded 0. A number of variables that described the character of the area in which a selected address was located, including aggregated census data and deprivation indices, were considered for possible inclusion in the response model. The model generated an estimated probability of responding for each selected address. From this model, the between household non-response weight was calculated as the inverse of this estimated probability of responding address.

The variables found to be related to household response, once the other predictors included in the model have been controlled for, were: region, percentage of owner-occupied properties in the Output Area (quintiles), the percentage of residents in social grade AB in the postcode sector (quintiles), the percentage of residents that hold a degree in the postcode sector (quintiles), Output Area Classification (8 categories) and a binary Urban-Rural-Indicator. The model shows that the likelihood of response increases with higher rates of home ownership, higher percentages of social grade AB as well as higher rates of degree level education. The full model is shown in Table 2.

| Table 2 Between-household non-response model | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Variable | В | S.E. | Wald | Df | Sig. | Odds | |
| Region | | | 35.111 | 10 | 0.000 | | |
| North East | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| North West | -0.213 | 0.086 | 6.142 | 1.000 | 0.013 | 0.808 | |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | -0.067 | 0.089 | 0.565 | 1.000 | 0.452 | 0.935 | |
| East Midlands | -0.042 | 0.091 | 0.214 | 1.000 | 0.644 | 0.959 | |
| West Midlands | -0.126 | 0.090 | 1.964 | 1.000 | 0.161 | 0.882 | |
| East of England | -0.217 | 0.089 | 5.906 | 1.000 | 0.015 | 0.805 | |
| London | -0.373 | 0.097 | 14.867 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.689 | |
| South East | -0.179 | 0.084 | 4.504 | 1.000 | 0.034 | 0.836 | |
| South West | -0.032 | 0.087 | 0.134 | 1.000 | 0.714 | 0.968 | |
| Scotland | -0.184 | 0.090 | 4.244 | 1.000 | 0.039 | 0.832 | |
| Wales | -0.238 | 0.102 | 5.486 | 1.000 | 0.019 | 0.788 | |
| Percentage owner-occupied (quintiles) | | | 20.561 | 4.000 | 0.000 | | |
| 1 (lowest) | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.128 | 0.059 | 4.741 | 1.000 | 0.029 | 1.136 | |
| 3 | 0.254 | 0.066 | 14.619 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.289 | |
| 4 | 0.270 | 0.072 | 13.976 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.310 | |
| 5 (highest) | 0.357 | 0.085 | 17.794 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.429 | |
| Percentage social grade (quintiles) | | | 9.759 | 4.000 | 0.045 | | |
| 1 (lowest) | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.012 | 0.074 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0.875 | 1.012 | |
| 3 | 0.053 | 0.090 | 0.350 | 1.000 | 0.554 | 1.055 | |
| 4 | 0.215 | 0.104 | 4.277 | 1.000 | 0.039 | 1.239 | |
| 5 (highest) | 0.178 | 0.119 | 2.234 | 1.000 | 0.135 | 1.195 | |

| Table 2 Between-household non-response model (continued) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Variable | В | S.E. | Wald | Df | Sig. | Odds | |
| Percentage with degree (quintiles) | | | 18.453 | 4.000 | 0.001 | | |
| 1 (lowest) | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.144 | 0.074 | 3.794 | 1.000 | 0.051 | 1.155 | |
| 3 | 0.263 | 0.089 | 8.671 | 1.000 | 0.003 | 1.301 | |
| 4 | 0.308 | 0.102 | 9.153 | 1.000 | 0.002 | 1.360 | |
| 5 (highest) | 0.482 | 0.117 | 16.940 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.620 | |
| Output Area Classification | | | 17.431 | 7.000 | 0.015 | | |
| Rural residents | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| Cosmopolitans | 0.085 | 0.101 | 0.706 | 1.000 | 0.401 | 1.088 | |
| Ethnicity central | -0.099 | 0.122 | 0.657 | 1.000 | 0.418 | 0.906 | |
| Multicultural metropolitans | -0.188 | 0.087 | 4.652 | 1.000 | 0.031 | 0.829 | |
| Urbanites | -0.085 | 0.066 | 1.661 | 1.000 | 0.198 | 0.919 | |
| Suburbanites | -0.135 | 0.069 | 3.814 | 1.000 | 0.051 | 0.874 | |
| Constrained city dwellers | -0.013 | 0.096 | 0.018 | 1.000 | 0.893 | 0.987 | |
| Hard pressed living | -0.148 | 0.075 | 3.913 | 1.000 | 0.048 | 0.862 | |
| Urban/Rural indicator | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 0.078 | 0.050 | 2.429 | 1.000 | 0.119 | 1.081 | |
| Constant | -2.222 | 0.112 | 393.786 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.108 | |

Non-response within households was also modelled using logistic regression, with the dependent variable indicating whether each responding address had one response or two to the survey. Addresses that contained only one adult and addresses from which there was not any response were not included in this stage of the non-response modelling. As well as the area-level information used in the previous model, additional household-level variables (gathered from the responses that were received) such as household size, tenure, whether anyone in the household has a degree and income were also considered for possible inclusion in the model. The predicted probability from the model of two people responding rather than one used to estimate the expected number of completed surveys in responding households. This was calculated as (1-p) + 2p = 1+p, where p is the probability of two responses. The within household non-response weight was calculated as the ratio of the number of adults in the household (capped at 4) divided by the expected number of responses for each responding household, i.e. numad / (1+p), where numad is the number of adults in the household (capped at 4).

The variables found to be related to the probability of receiving two responses once the other predictors included in the model have been controlled for were: region, whether someone in the

household holds a degree, total pre-tax household income (quartiles), Index of Multiple Deprivation (quintiles in England, bitiles in Scotland and Wales), the number of adults in household (capped at 4), and the percentage of residents in NS-SEC managerial, administrative and professional occupations in the postcode sector (quintiles). The model shows that the likelihood of two respondents per household decreases with more eligible adults in the household as well as in more deprived areas. The full model is shown in Table 3.

| Variabla | P | <u>е Е</u> | Wold | Df | Sia | Odda |
|--|------------|------------|--------|----|-------|-------|
| | В | 3.E. | Walu | | Siy. | Ouus |
| Region | | | 19.892 | 10 | 0.030 | |
| North East | (baseline) | | | | | |
| North West | 0.074 | 0.191 | 0.149 | 1 | 0.700 | 1.076 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0.133 | 0.200 | 0.443 | 1 | 0.505 | 1.142 |
| East Midlands | 0.092 | 0.203 | 0.205 | 1 | 0.651 | 1.096 |
| West Midlands | 0.076 | 0.199 | 0.146 | 1 | 0.702 | 1.079 |
| East of England | -0.267 | 0.197 | 1.834 | 1 | 0.176 | 0.766 |
| London | -0.115 | 0.194 | 0.353 | 1 | 0.552 | 0.891 |
| South East | -0.125 | 0.189 | 0.438 | 1 | 0.508 | 0.882 |
| South West | -0.135 | 0.198 | 0.466 | 1 | 0.495 | 0.873 |
| Scotland | 0.569 | 0.273 | 4.334 | 1 | 0.037 | 1.767 |
| Wales | 0.115 | 0.317 | 0.131 | 1 | 0.718 | 1.121 |
| Household Education (degree/no degree) | | | | | | |
| Degree | 0.155 | 0.077 | 4.075 | 1 | 0.044 | 1.167 |
| Pre-tax household income (quartiles) | | | 66.055 | 4 | 0.000 | |
| Missing | (baseline) | | | | | |
| Less than £1,410 per month | 0.974 | 0.142 | 47.171 | 1 | 0.000 | 2.647 |

0.817

0.709

0.840

(baseline)

-0.048

-0.239

0.123 44.392

36.181

49.762

3.618

0.244

3.554

0.118

0.119

0.098

0.127

1

1

1

2

1

1

0.000

0.000

0.000

0.164

0.621

0.059

2.265

2.032

2.317

0.953

0.787

Table 3 Within-household non-response model

8 National Centre for Social Research British Social Attitudes 40 | Technical Details

£1,411 - £2,560 per month

£4,351 per month or more

2

3

4+

£2,561 - £4,350 per month or missing

Number of adults in Household

| Table 3 Within-household non-response model (continued) | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|--------|----|-------|-------|--|
| Variable | В | S.E. | Wald | Df | Sig. | Odds | |
| Index of Multiple-Deprivation* | | | 16.596 | 6 | 0.011 | | |
| Most deprived in England | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| 2nd most deprived in England | 0.026 | 0.134 | 0.038 | 1 | 0.845 | 1.027 | |
| middle deprived in England | 0.353 | 0.149 | 5.612 | 1 | 0.018 | 1.424 | |
| 2nd least deprived in England | 0.405 | 0.159 | 6.447 | 1 | 0.011 | 1.499 | |
| Least deprived in England | 0.420 | 0.171 | 6.056 | 1 | 0.014 | 1.522 | |
| Most deprived in Wales | -0.185 | 0.329 | 0.316 | 1 | 0.574 | 0.831 | |
| Most deprived in Scotland | -0.733 | 0.256 | 8.179 | 1 | 0.004 | 0.481 | |
| NS-SEC (quintiles) | | | 13.034 | 4 | 0.011 | | |
| 1 (lowest) | (baseline) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.075 | 0.124 | 0.364 | 1 | 0.546 | 1.078 | |
| 3 | -0.171 | 0.142 | 1.457 | 1 | 0.227 | 0.842 | |
| 4 | -0.287 | 0.154 | 3.455 | 1 | 0.063 | 0.751 | |
| 5 (highest) | -0.430 | 0.166 | 6.692 | 1 | 0.010 | 0.650 | |
| Constant | -0.902 | 0.204 | 19.561 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.406 | |

* There were 5 categories in the model in England (most, middle, least) and 2 categories in Wales and Scotland (most, least). The 'least deprived' categories in Wales and Scotland are not shown because the sample size in these two categories was too small for a regression coefficient to be computed once all the other variables were controlled for.

Calibration weighting

The final stage of weighting was to adjust the composite non-response weight (the product of the weights from the previous stages) so that the weighted composition of the sample was in line with the best available population estimates of the characteristics of adults in Britain.

Only adults aged 18 or over living in Great Britain were eligible to take part in the survey. Consequently the data have been weighted to the British population aged 18 and over according to the 2020 mid-year population estimates published by the Office for National Statistics/General Register Office for Scotland (ONS, 2021) for age, sex and region, and the latest ONS Labour Force Survey (ONS, 2021) for education, ethnicity, economic activity and housing tenure. The demographic composition of the original and final weighted sample, and how this compares with the population estimates, is shown in Table 4.

| | Population | Unweighted respondents | Respondent weighted by pre-calibration weight | Respondent weighted by final weight |
|----------------------|------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Region | % | % | % | % |
| North East | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| North West | 11.3 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| Yorkshire and Humber | 8.5 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| East Midlands | 7.5 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.5 |
| West Midlands | 9.1 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.0 |
| East of England | 9.6 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 9.6 |
| London | 13.5 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 13.5 |
| South East | 14.1 | 14.8 | 14.2 | 14.1 |
| South West | 8.8 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 8.8 |
| Wales | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Scotland | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.6 |
| Age and sex | % | % | % | % |
| M 18–24 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 5.4 |
| M 25–34 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 8.6 |
| M 35–44 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| M 45–54 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| M 55–59 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| M 60–64 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| M 65–69 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| M 70+ | 7.8 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 7.8 |
| F 18–24 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| F 25–34 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 8.4 |
| F 35–44 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 8.1 |
| F 45–54 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 8.5 |
| F 55–59 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| F 60–64 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| F 65–69 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| F 70+ | 9.6 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 9.6 |

Table 4 Sample distribution

| | Population | Unweighted respondents | Respondent weighted by pre-calibration weight | Respondent weighted by final weight |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Age and education | % | % | % | % |
| 18-34 Degree/equiv | 12.0 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 12.0 |
| 18-34 other qualification | 14.3 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 14.3 |
| 35-54 Degree/equiv | 16.7 | 21.9 | 21.2 | 16.7 |
| 35-54 other qualification | 14.1 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 14.1 |
| 55-69 Degree/equiv | 8.1 | 16.5 | 15.4 | 8.1 |
| 55-69 other qualification | 11.5 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 11.5 |
| 70+ | 17.3 | 16.6 | 13.7 | 17.4 |
| No qualification | 5.9 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 5.9 |
| Tenure | % | % | % | % |
| Owned outright | 34.0 | 40.7 | 37.4 | 34.0 |
| Mortgage owned | 33.9 | 33.8 | 34.0 | 33.9 |
| Rent or other | 32.1 | 25.6 | 28.5 | 32.1 |
| Ethnicity | % | % | % | % |
| White | 86.5 | 87.8 | 85.2 | 86.5 |
| BAME | 13.5 | 12.2 | 14.8 | 13.5 |
| Economic activity | % | % | % | % |
| Employed | 62.3 | 58.2 | 59.2 | 62.3 |
| Unemployed | 2.2 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 2.2 |
| Other/inactive | 35.5 | 37.7 | 36.1 | 35.5 |
| Base | 51,435,642 | 6,699 | 6,699 | 6,699 |

Table 4 Sample distribution (continued)

The calibration weight (BSA22_final_wt) is the final weight used in the analysis of the 2022 survey; this weight has been scaled so that the total sample size is unchanged. The range of the final calibrated weights is between 0.08 and 9.04.

Weighting efficiency and effective sample size

The effect of the weights on the precision of the survey estimates is indicated by the effective sample size (neff). The effective sample size measures the size of an (unweighted) simple random sample that would achieve the same precision (that is, the range of the standard error associated with each estimate) as the design that has been implemented. If the effective sample size is close to the actual sample size, then we have an efficient design with a good level of precision. The lower the effective sample size is, the lower the level of precision. The efficiency of a sample is given by the ratio of the effective sample size to the actual sample size to the actual sample size. The effective sample size (neff) of BSA 2022 after

weighting is 4,271 with an efficiency of 64%. This is similar to the BSA 2021, which had an effective sample size (neff) after weighting of 4,269 with an efficiency of 68%. For detailed analysis of how this compares to earlier years of the BSA, using the face-to-face model, see the technical notes accompanying the 2020 BSA report (Clery, et al 2021).

Weighted bases

All the percentages presented in this report are based on weighted data but only the unweighted bases are presented in the tables. Details of weighted and unweighted bases for standard demographic variables are shown in Table 6.

| Table 6 Weighted and unweighted bases for standard demographic variables | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Variable | Weighted base | Unweighted base | | | |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 3273 | 2931 | | | |
| Female | 3423 | 3764 | | | |
| Age | | | | | |
| 18-24 | 704 | 393 | | | |
| 25-34 | 1138 | 1112 | | | |
| 35-44 | 1073 | 1147 | | | |
| 45-54 | 1127 | 1038 | | | |
| 55-59 | 570 | 605 | | | |
| 60-64 | 487 | 660 | | | |
| 65+ | 1585 | 1729 | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | |
| White | 5787 | 5896 | | | |
| Black and Minority Ethnic | 771 | 673 | | | |
| Class group (NSSEC) | | | | | |
| Managerial & professional occupations | 3548 | 4065 | | | |
| Intermediate occupations | 782 | 762 | | | |
| Employers in small org; own account workers | 442 | 401 | | | |
| Lower supervisory & technical occupations | 550 | 441 | | | |
| Semi-routine & routine occupations | 975 | 715 | | | |
| Highest educational qualification | | | | | |
| Degree or equivalent, and above | 2297 | 3174 | | | |
| Higher education below degree | 705 | 933 | | | |
| A level or equivalent | 1468 | 936 | | | |
| Qualifications below A levels (such as | 1519 | 1098 | | | |
| GCSEs/O Levels) | | | | | |
| Other | 109 | 104 | | | |
| No qualification | 546 | 385 | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Married or in a civil partnership | 3476 | 3582 | | | |
| Separated or divorced after marrying or civil | 502 | 597 | | | |
| Widowod/oun/wing partner from a civil | 264 | 202 | | | |
| vinuoweu/surviving partner from a civil | 204 | 302 | | | |
| Not married | 2421 | 2100 | | | |
| | 2424 | 2190 | | | |

Analysis variables

A number of standard analysis variables have been used in some of the chapters in this report. The analysis variables requiring further definition are set out below. Where relevant the name given to the relevant analysis variable is shown in square brackets – for example [EmpOcc]. In 2020, some questions underwent small changes of wording in order to optimise the questions for administration over the web and by telephone.

Region

The BSA dataset identifies 11 regions, formerly the Government Office Regions (South East, London, North West, East of England, West Midlands, South West, Yorkshire and the Humber, East Midlands, North East, Wales and Scotland).

National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC)

It is important to note that NS-SEC was derived differently in 2021 and 2020 from previous BSAs for which information may be found in the Technical Details for the 2019 survey (Curtice et al., 2020).

For the 2022 survey, respondents were asked to self-code their current or last job into an eight category variable [EmpOCC]. An employment status variable that summarises information on employment status and size of organisation was also derived [EmpIStatDV] from questions on whether an individual is:

- an employer, self-employed or an employee [Empstat;
- size of organisation [employ]; and
- supervisory status [Superv].

The National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC) was derived from a combination of the information on occupation and employment status [RclassGP]. This allows respondents to be classified into the following socio-economic groups:

- Managerial and professional occupations
- Intermediate occupations
- Small employers and own account workers
- Lower supervisory and technical occupations
- Semi-routine and routine occupations

Those who have never had a job are coded as "not classifiable".

Party identification

Respondents are classified as identifying with a particular political party on one of three counts: if they consider themselves supporters of that party; closer to it than to others; or more likely to support it in the event of a general election. Responses are derived from the following questions:

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a supporter of any one political party? [Yes/No] [If "No"/"Don't know"]

Do you think of yourself as a little closer to one political party than to the others? [Yes/No] [If "Yes" at either question or "No"/"Don't know" at 2nd question]

Which one?/If there were a general election tomorrow, which political party do you think you would be most likely to support?

[Conservative; Labour; Liberal Democrat; Scottish National Party; Plaid Cymru; Green Party; UK Independence Party (UKIP); Brexit Party; Other party; None; Refused to say]

Income

In 2022, the BSA dataset includes a measure of household income [HHIncome] in which respondents were asked to place themselves into banded income quartiles. The bandings used are designed to be representative of those that exist in Britain and are taken from the Family Resources Survey (DWP, 2021). In addition, respondents currently in work were asked to place themselves within estimated earnings quartiles.

Attitude scales

Since 1986, the BSA surveys have included two attitude scales which aim to measure where respondents stand on certain underlying value dimensions – left–right and libertarian–authoritarian.¹ Since 1987 (except in 1990), a similar scale on 'welfarism' has also been included. Some of the items in the welfarism scale were changed in 2000–2001. The current version of this scale is shown below.

A useful way of summarising the information from a number of questions of this sort is to construct an additive index (Spector, 1992; DeVellis, 2003). This approach rests on the assumption that there is an underlying – 'latent' – attitudinal dimension which characterises the answers to all the questions within each scale. If so, scores on the index are likely to be a more reliable indication of the underlying attitude than the answers to any one individual question.

Each of these scales consists of a number of statements to which the respondent is invited to "agree strongly", "agree", "neither agree nor disagree", "disagree" or "disagree strongly".

The items are:

Left-right scale

Government should redistribute income from the better off to those who are less well off [Redistrb]

Big business benefits owners at the expense of workers [BigBusnN]

Ordinary working people do not get their fair share of the nation's wealth [Wealth]²

¹ Because of methodological experiments on scale development, the exact items detailed in this section have not been asked on all versions of the questionnaire each year.

² In 1994 only, this item was replaced by: Ordinary people get their fair share of the nation's wealth [Wealth1].

There is one law for the rich and one for the poor [RichLaw]

Management will always try to get the better of employees if it gets the chance [Indust4]

Libertarian-authoritarian scale

Young people today don't have enough respect for traditional British values. [TradVals]

People who break the law should be given stiffer sentences. [StifSent]

For some crimes, the death penalty is the most appropriate sentence. [DeathApp]

Schools should teach children to obey authority. [Obey]

The law should always be obeyed, even if a particular law is wrong. [WrongLaw]

Censorship of films and magazines is necessary to uphold moral standards. [Censor]

Welfarism scale

The welfare state encourages people to stop helping each other. [WelfHelp]

The government should spend more money on welfare benefits for the poor, even if it leads to higher taxes. [MoreWelf]

Around here, most unemployed people could find a job if they really wanted one. [UnempJob]

Many people who get social security don't really deserve any help. [SocHelp]

Most people on the dole are fiddling in one way or another. [DoleFidl]

If welfare benefits weren't so generous, people would learn to stand on their own two feet. [WelfFeet]

Cutting welfare benefits would damage too many people's lives. [DamLives]

The creation of the welfare state is one of Britain's proudest achievements. [ProudWlf]

The indices for the three scales are formed by scoring the leftmost, most libertarian or most prowelfare position as 1 and, the rightmost, most authoritarian or most anti-welfarist position as 5. The "neither agree nor disagree" option is scored as 3. The scores to all the questions in each scale are added and then divided by the number of items in the scale, giving indices ranging from 1 (leftmost, most libertarian, most pro-welfare) to 5 (rightmost, most authoritarian, most anti-welfare). The scores on the three indices have been placed on the dataset.¹

The scales have been tested for reliability (as measured by Cronbach's alpha). The Cronbach's alpha (unstandardised items) for the scales in 2020 are 0.84 for the left–right scale, 0.81 for the libertarian– authoritarian scale and 0.90 for the welfarism scale. This level of reliability can be considered 'good' for the left–right, libertarian and welfarism scales (DeVellis, 2003: 95–96).

Other analysis variables

These are taken directly from the questionnaire and to that extent are self-explanatory. The principal ones are:

- Sex
- Age
- Economic position
- Religion
- Highest educational qualification obtained
- Marital status
- Whether receiving any benefits or tax credits

Sampling errors

No sample precisely reflects the characteristics of the population it represents, because of both sampling and non-sampling errors. If a sample was designed as a random sample (if every adult had an equal and independent chance of inclusion in the sample), then we could calculate the sampling error of any percentage, p, using the formula:

$$s.e.(p) = \sqrt{\frac{p(100-p)}{n}}$$

where *n* is the number of respondents on which the percentage is based. Once the sampling error had been calculated, it would be a straightforward exercise to calculate a confidence interval for the true population percentage. For example, a 95% confidence interval would be given by the formula:

 $p \pm 1.96 \times s.e.(p)$

Clearly, for a simple random sample (srs), the sampling error depends only on the values of p and n. However, simple random sampling is almost never used in practice, because of its inefficiency in terms of time and cost.

¹ In constructing the scale, a decision had to be taken on how to treat missing values ("Don't know" and "Not answered"). Respondents who had more than two missing values on the left–right scale and more than three missing values on the libertarian–authoritarian and welfarism scales were excluded from that scale. For respondents with fewer missing values, "Don't know" was recoded to the midpoint of the scale and "Not answered" was recoded to the scale mean for that respondent on their valid items.

In BSA 2022, although the (majority of the) sample of addresses is not clustered geographically (in contrast to previous BSAs), because more than one adult is able to take part per address, responses are clustered at the household level. Consequently with a complex design like this, the sampling error of a percentage giving a particular response is not simply a function of the number of respondents in the sample and the size of the percentage, but it also depends on how that percentage response is spread within and between households.

This design may be assessed relative to simple random sampling by calculating a range of design factors (DEFTs) associated with it, where:

$$DEFT = \sqrt{\frac{Variance \ of \ estimator \ with \ complex \ design, sample \ size \ n}{Variance \ of \ estimator \ with \ srs \ design, sample \ size \ n}}}$$

and represents the multiplying factor to be applied to the simple random sampling error to produce its complex equivalent. A design factor of one means that the complex sample has achieved the same precision as a simple random sample of the same size. A design factor greater than one means the complex sample is less precise than its simple random sample equivalent. If the DEFT for a particular characteristic is known, a 95% confidence interval for a percentage may be calculated using the formula:

 $p \pm 1.96 \times complex \ sampling \ error \ (p) = p \pm 1.96 \times DEFT \times \sqrt{\frac{p(100-p)}{n}}$

Most of the questions asked of all sample members have a margin of error of around plus or minus two to three of the survey percentage. This means that we can be 95% certain that the true population percentage is within two to three percentage points (in either direction) of the percentage we report. However, sampling errors for percentages based only on respondents to just one of the versions of the questionnaire, or on subgroups within the sample, are larger than they would have been had the questions been asked of everyone.

Analysis techniques

Regression

Regression analysis aims to summarise the relationship between a 'dependent' variable and one or more 'independent' variables. It shows how well we can estimate a respondent's score on the dependent variable from knowledge of their scores on the independent variables. It is often undertaken to support a claim that the phenomena measured by the independent variables *cause* the phenomenon measured by the dependent variable. However, the causal ordering, if any, between the variables cannot be verified or falsified by the technique. Causality can only be inferred through special experimental designs or through assumptions made by the analyst.

All regression analysis assumes that the relationship between the dependent and each of the independent variables takes a particular form. In *linear regression*, it is assumed that the relationship can be adequately summarised by a straight line. This means that a one percentage point increase in

the value of an independent variable is assumed to have the same impact on the value of the dependent variable on average, irrespective of the previous values of those variables.

Strictly speaking, the technique assumes that both the dependent and the independent variables are measured on an interval-level scale, although it may sometimes still be applied even where this is not the case. For example, one can use an ordinal variable (e.g. a Likert scale) as a *dependent* variable if one is willing to assume that there is an underlying interval-level scale and the difference between the observed ordinal scale and the underlying interval scale is due to random measurement error. Often the answers to a number of Likert-type questions are averaged to give a dependent variable that is more like a continuous variable. Categorical or nominal data can be used as *independent* variables by converting them into dummy or binary variables; these are variables where the only valid scores are 0 and 1, with 1 signifying membership of a particular category and 0 otherwise.

The assumptions of linear regression cause particular difficulties where the *dependent* variable is binary. The assumption that the relationship between the dependent and the independent variables is a straight line means that it can produce estimated values for the dependent variable of less than 0 or greater than 1. In this case it may be more appropriate to assume that the relationship between the dependent and the independent variables takes the form of an S-curve, where the impact on the dependent variable of a one-point increase in an independent variable becomes progressively less the closer the value of the dependent variable approaches 0 or 1. *Logistic regression* is an alternative form of regression which fits such an S-curve rather than a straight line. The technique can also be adapted to analyse multinomial non-interval-level dependent variables, that is, variables which classify respondents into more than two categories.

The two statistical scores most commonly reported from the results of regression analyses are: *A measure of variance explained*: This summarises how well all the independent variables combined can account for the variation in respondents' scores in the dependent variable. The higher the measure, the more accurately we are able in general to estimate the correct value of each respondent's score on the dependent variable from knowledge of their scores on the independent variables.

A parameter estimate: This shows how much the dependent variable will change on average, given a one-unit change in the independent variable (while holding all other independent variables in the model constant). The parameter estimate has a positive sign if an increase in the value of the independent variable results in an increase in the value of the dependent variable. It has a negative sign if an increase in the value of the independent variable results in a decrease in the value of the dependent variable. If the parameter estimates are standardised, it is possible to compare the relative impact of different independent variables; those variables with the largest standardised estimates can be said to have the biggest impact on the value of the dependent variable.

Regression also tests for the statistical significance of parameter estimates. A parameter estimate is said to be significant at the 5% level if the range of the values encompassed by its 95% confidence interval (see also section on sampling errors) are either all positive or all negative. This means that there is less than a 5% chance that the association we have found between the dependent variable and the independent variable is simply the result of sampling error and does not reflect a relationship that actually exists in the general population.

Factor analysis

Factor analysis is a statistical technique which aims to identify whether there are one or more apparent sources of commonality to the answers given by respondents to a set of questions. It ascertains the smallest number of *factors* (or dimensions) which can most economically summarise all of the variation found in the set of questions being analysed. Factors are established where respondents who gave a particular answer to one question in the set tended to give the same answer as each other to one or more of the other questions in the set. The technique is most useful when a relatively small number of factors are able to account for a relatively large proportion of the variance in all of the questions in the set.

The technique produces a *factor loading* for each question (or variable) on each factor. Where questions have a high loading on the same factor, then it will be the case that respondents who gave a particular answer to one of these questions tended to give a similar answer to each other at the other questions. The technique is most commonly used in attitudinal research to try to identify the underlying ideological dimensions which apparently structure attitudes towards the subject in question.

Table and figure conventions

The following conventions are used for tables and figures throughout the report.

- 1. Data in the tables are from the 2022 British Social Attitudes survey unless otherwise indicated.
- 2. Tables are percentaged as indicated by percentage signs.
- 3. In tables, '*' indicates less than 0.5 % but greater than zero, and '-' indicates zero.
- 4. When findings based on the responses of fewer than 100 respondents are reported in the text, reference is made to the small base size. These findings are excluded from line charts, but included in tables.
- Percentages equal to or greater than 0.5 have been rounded up (e.g. 0.5 % = 1 %; 36.5 % = 37 %).
- 6. In many tables the proportions of respondents answering "Don't know" or not giving an answer are not shown. This, together with the effects of rounding and weighting, means that percentages will not always add up to 100 %.
- 7. The unweighted bases shown in the tables (the number of respondents who answered the question) are printed in small italics.
- 8. In time series line charts, survey readings are indicated by data markers. While the line between data markers indicates an overall pattern, where there is no data marker the position of the line cannot be taken as an accurate reading for that year.

References

Clery, E., Curtice, J., Frankenburg, S., Morgan, H., and Reid, S. (eds.) (2021) British Social Attitudes: The 38th Report. London: National Centre for Social Research

Curtice, J., Hudson, N., and Montagu, I. (eds.) (2020) British Social Attitudes: The 37th Report. London: The National Centre for Social Research

DeVellis, R.F. (2003), *Scale Development: Theory and Applications*, 2nd edition, Applied Social Research Methods Series, 26, Thousand Oaks, California: Sage

Dillman, DA. Smyth, JD. Christian, LM. (2014) Internet, Phone, Mail and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method. Wiley.

Duncan, O. D. & Duncan, B. (1955). A Methodological Analysis of Segregation Indexes. American Sociological Review, 20, 210-217

DWP (2021) Family Resources Survey http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/frs

Koch, Achim (2018). Assessment of socio-demographic sample composition in ESS Round 7. Mannheim: European Social Survey, GESIS.

ONS (2011) 2011 Area Classification

https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/geography/geographicalproducts/areaclassifications/2011areaclassifications/penportraitsandradialplots

ONS (2020) Mid-2019 Population Estimates

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/ bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/mid2019estimates

ONS (2021) Labour Force Survey

https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/methodologies/labourforcesurveyuserguidance

Spector, P. (1992), Summated Rating Scale Construction: An Introduction, Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, 82, Newbury Park, California: Sage